Case 2:06-cr-00210-LDW LASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK GOLD #: 20

-- AGUSLAND OFFICE _____ Docket#

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 06-cr-210(ETB)/LDW)

- versus -

: U.S. Courthouse

: Central Islip, New York

GREG DEPETRO,

Defendant : March 30, 2006

. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PLEADING BEFORE THE HONORABLE E. THOMAS BOYLE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

P EARA N C E S: P

For the Government:

Roslynn R. Mauskopf, Esq.

United States Attorney

BY:

Burton Ryan, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney

610 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, New York

For the Defendant:

Jeffrey Rabin, Esq.

Official Transcriber: Rosalie Lombardi

L.F.

Transcription Service:

Transcription Plus II

823 Whittier Avenue

New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040

(516) 358-7352

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

Transcription Plus II Rosalie Lombardi

```
1
              THE CLERK: Calling case 06-cr-210, <u>United</u>
2
   States v. DePetro.
              Counsel, please state your appearance for the
 3
    record.
 4
              MR. RYAN: For the government, your Honor,
 5
 6
   Burton Ryan.
 7
              MR. RABIN: For the defendant, Jeffrey Rabin
 8
    (phonetic).
 9
              Good morning, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Good morning. I believe this is
10
   Mr. DePetro's first appearance before the Court.
11
12
              Is that correct?
13
              MR. RABIN: That's correct, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Mr. DePetro, what I would like to
15
    do is arraign you initially with regard to this charge
16
    and that is to advise you as to the nature of the charge
17
    and certain rights that you have. I'm going to ask you
18
    at this point to enter a plea of not guilty to the
19
    information before the Court. And then it's my
    understanding that you wish to change that plea and we'll
20
21
   get into that a little bit later.
22
              I have before the Court a one count information
23
    charging a conspiracy to money laundering and I'm going
    to ask the government if they can put some flesh and
25
   blood on this charge because I find it personally very
```

1 | confusing.

MR. RYAN: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: The objects of the conspiracy; what happened here.

MR. RYAN: Judge, the defendant was in a business, New York Acoustic, in which he had a conspiracy and an association with the name -- with an individual by the name of Joseph A. Costello (phonetic). Mr. Costello ran an illegal check cashing business that was unlicensed, that did not file currency transaction reports for transactions over \$10,000. And did not maintain any record of the checks.

Mr. Costello also solicited his clients to write checks made out to fictitious companies or to prior customers which would then be forged and cashed, so that the businesses that provided him the checks would receive back the money without leaving any paper trail or any record of the fact that they were the ones receiving the money.

In essence, Joseph A. Costello was in business between the years 1995 and November 30, 2004, cashed over \$700 million worth of checks in the New York Metropolitan area with various companies providing them with untraceable cash which they then used to -- that was received without filing of any currency transaction

reports which they then used to the intent to avoid IRS 1 2 income taxes, to pay payroll, to avoid having to pay 3 taxes to their employees, withhold taxes from their employees, pay union benefit dues to their employees who 4 received cash, provide the basis for other frauds, bank 5 frauds, federal program frauds, by paying people in 6 connection with federally funded construction by -- and 7 8 mail frauds by providing them these forged or false 9 checks as receipts which they could then use as deductions against their own expenses and fraudulently 10 11 deduct them for purposes for which were not accurate on their own returns. 12 13 This caused a simple tax avoidance scheme to 14 become a money laundering scheme in the millions and 15 hundreds of millions of dollars. 16 THE COURT: This is wrongful theft (inaudible)

THE COURT: This is wrongful theft (inaudible) benefit plans?

MR. RYAN: Yes, Judge, because union benefit and pension funds are trust funds, much like withholding funds that are due and owing from the employers at the time they are earned by the employee, so that if you had a union employee that worked a certain number of hours, that union benefit and pension fund was immediately due that amount of money and the employer -- any funds the employer had that were within the corporation were held

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
   in trust for that. And, therefore, the failure to pay
 2
   that money is an embezzlement from a union employee or
   pension benefit fund.
 3
              THE COURT: Now, let me ask you a question and
 4
   I ordinarily wouldn't go through this in this detail but
 5
   I don't have the complaint. It's just the way the many
 6
 7
   cases start off. My first question (inaudible) and I
   want your client to understand what the government's
 8
 9
   theory of the case is (inaudible).
              Now, you have four objects of this conspiracy
10
   that are set forth --
11
12
              MR. RYAN: Yes, Judge.
13
              THE COURT: -- in your information?
14
              MR. RYAN:
                         There are a number of different
15
             Now, let me go through them, so that it's clear
   objects.
16
   what the intention was.
                             The objects of the financial
17
   transactions which was the cashing of the checks, which
18
   effected interstate commerce were with the intent to
   promote on the future criminal activity of cashing the
19
20
   checks, the underlying act which is either the mail
    fraud, the bank fraud, the pension fund fraud, the
21
22
   federal program fraud, that I previously discussed.
23
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          So, that's subdivision A --
 2
                         That would be subdivision A, Judge.
              MR. RYAN:
              THE COURT: -- which is the first object of the
 3
 4
   conspiracy.
                         That's the first object of the
 5
              MR. RYAN:
6
   conspiracy.
                 The second one --
              THE COURT: Before we do that (inaudible)
 7
   government's theory with regard to 7201, 7206 of the IRS
 8
 9
10
              MR. RYAN: Well, the reason why you would want
   to take cash out of your corporation, Judge, in a way
11
12
   that couldn't be traced would be -- or to divert income
13
   checks coming into your corporation would be that you
14
   would not have to report them to the internal revenue
15
   service and claim that it was income to the corporation
16
   or to you.
17
              THE COURT: So, 7201 is the willful failure to
18
   file; intending not to file income tax returns with
19
   regard to these sums.
20
             MR. RYAN: Right. And 72 -- that's correct,
            In 7206, it's essentially just a false filing.
21
   Judge.
22
              THE COURT: False statement.
23
              MR. RYAN:
                         False statement relative to --
24
              THE COURT: In this case it would be an
   omission or a false statement.
25
```

```
1
              MR. RYAN:
                         It could be an omission or it could
 2
    be, Judge, since many of the checks were made out to
 3
    former customers or even fictitious companies, names
    supplied by Mr. Costello, the receipt and the use of
 4
    those checks given to an accountant and used as a false
 5
    deduction in the books and records of the corporation
 6
 7
    would make the tax return false.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          So, the second object is the intent
 9
    on willfully avoiding paying the taxes by not filing a
10
    return with regard to these --
11
              MR. RYAN:
                         To the money; these sums of monies.
12
                         And secondly, 7206, falsely state
              THE COURT:
13
    or omit to state the amount of these items --
14
              MR. RYAN:
                         That would correct, Judge.
15
              THE COURT: -- and any return --
16
              MR. RYAN:
                         That's correct, Judge.
17
              THE COURT:
                          -- filed by the defendant or his
18
    corporation.
19
              MR. RYAN:
                         Correct.
20
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
21
              MR. RYAN:
                         The third --
22
              THE COURT:
                          (inaudible).
23
              MR. RYAN:
                         The third method by which this
    conspiracy could be completed would be that the
24
25
    transactions were designed to conceal and disquise the
```

```
1
   source of the money. That is, once you've used the --
 2
   once you cash the check, either from a diverted income
 3
   check or from a false check written or a check written by
   a corporation, the fact that you had this cash taken away
 4
   from your corporation or business and then used it for
 5
   other purposes, you did not want to let anyone to know
 6
 7
   that you were taking money out of your corporation,
   either legitimately or illegitimately through stealing
 8
 9
         So you wanted to hide the fact that this money came
10
   from those sources. And that would be why cash was used
11
   similar to why drug dealers use cash; to hide that it's
12
    from a drug transaction.
13
              THE COURT: And the last object of the
14
   conspiracy?
15
              MR. RYAN: And the last object of the
16
   conspiracy could be completed by the individuals knowing
17
   that by giving the checks to Mr. Costello, that he did
18
   not file, that he was not going to file any currency
19
   transaction report and he was not going to maintain a
20
   record reflecting that the individual who received the
21
   cash actually received the cash. Any one of those four
22
   purposes would complete the criminal activity.
23
              THE COURT:
                          And on the government's theory of
24
```

MR. RYAN: The co-conspirators, Judge, are

```
Mr. Joseph A. Costello, and his customers.
1
 2
              THE COURT: At this time, how does your client
 3
   plead to the information before the Court?
             MR. RABIN: He pleads not guilty at this time,
 4
   your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. DePetro, you have a right to
 6
 7
   have this plea taken before Judge Wexler. He is going to
   be the sentencing judge in this case. Alternatively, you
8
 9
   can choose to have the plea taken before me today.
10
             At the end of the proceeding, I will make a
   recommendation on record to Judge Wexler as to whether
11
12
   the plea was entered knowingly and intelligently and
13
   voluntarily. And then he will review (inaudible).
14
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
15
              THE COURT: Do you (inaudible) before me today?
16
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
17
              THE COURT: There shouldn't be any surprises
18
   here. You and your attorney went through the script and
19
   I am going to go through it with you now. And that will
20
   be the primary basis for the proceedings (inaudible).
21
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: I am going to ask the courtroom
23
   deputy to swear you in.
24
   GREG DEPETRO,
```

having been first duly sworn, was examined and

1 testified as follows: 2 THE CLERK: State your name for the record, 3 please. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Gregory DePetro. 5 THE COURT: So you have been sworn in at this 6 So, it's very, very important that you do so 7 (inaudible). If there's anything you don't understand in 8 these proceedings, just ask and I will clarify it. 9 there's anything that you don't understand as far as any of these questions, (inaudible) go through, don't 10 hesitate to ask. 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Could you please state your full 14 name for the record. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Gregory DePetro. 16 THE COURT: And your age, please? 17 THE DEFENDANT: 56. 18 THE COURT: Are you a citizen, are you not? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me? 20 THE COURT: You're a citizen of the United States? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And your highest schooling or 24 education?

THE DEFENDANT: Twelfth grade.

```
1
              THE COURT: And are you presently or have you
 2
    recently been under the care of a physician or a
   psychiatrist?
 3
 4
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          In the past 24 hours, have you had
 6
    any narcotic drugs?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
              THE COURT: Any medicine or pills or had any
 8
 9
   alcoholic beverage?
10
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
11
              THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or
12
    treated for narcotic addiction?
13
              THE DEFENDANT: No.
14
              THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you stand
15
   here today?
16
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Do you understand what the purpose
18
    of this proceeding is?
19
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
20
              THE COURT: You understand you're pleading to
21
    the information that's before the Court.
22
              THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
23
              THE COURT: Mr. Rabin, you've discussed this
24
    case with your client, have you not?
25
              MR. RABIN: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          And in your opinion, does he
 2
    understand the rights that he'll be waiving by pleading
 3
    quilty?
 4
              MR. RABIN:
                          Yes, he does.
 5
              THE COURT: And in your opinion, is he capable
 6
    of understanding the nature of these proceedings and
 7
    assisting in his own defense?
              MR. RABIN:
 8
                          Yes.
 9
              THE COURT:
                         Do you have any doubt as to your
10
    client's competency to plead at this time?
11
              MR. RABIN:
                          None whatsoever.
12
              THE COURT:
                         Mr. DePetro, you have the right to
13
    continue in your plea of not quilty.
14
              Do you understand that you have that right?
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
16
              THE COURT:
                          If you were to continue in that not
17
    guilty plea, under the Constitution and laws of the
18
    United States, you would be entitled to a speedy and a
19
   public trial by a jury with the assistance of your
20
    attorney with regard to this charge.
21
              Do you understand that you have that right?
22
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
23
              THE COURT: At a trial, you would be presumed
24
    to be innocent and the government would have to overcome
25
    that presumption and prove your quilt by competent
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if the government failed to do that, the jury would have an duty to find you not guilty.

> Do you understand that you have those rights? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: During the course of a trial, the witnesses for the government would have to come to court and testify in your presence. And your attorney would have the right to cross-examine those witnesses for the government. Your attorney could object to evidence offered by the government against you and you would have the right to offer evidence on your own behalf.

> Do you understand that you have those rights? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At a trial, while you would have the right to testify if you chose to do so, you are not under any obligation to testify. Under the Constitution you cannot be compelled to incriminate yourself. you decided not to testify, the Court would instruct the jury that they could not hold that against you.

> Do you understand that you have those rights? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          If you plead guilty and if I accept
 2
   the plea, you will be giving up your constitutional right
 3
    to a trial and the other rights that I just mentioned.
    There will be no further trial of any kind and no right
 4
 5
    to appeal or collaterally attack or at any time question
 6
   whether you are guilty or not. A judgment of guilty will
 7
   be entered on the basis of your guilty plea and that
 8
   judgment cannot be challenged. However, you do have the
 9
   right to appeal with regard to the issue of sentence.
10
              Do you understand that you have those rights
11
   and those responsibilities if you plead guilty?
12
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
13
              THE COURT: If you plead guilty, I am going to
14
   have to ask you questions about what you did in order to
15
   satisfy myself that you are quilty of the charge to which
16
   you are seeking to plead. And you will have to answer
17
   those questions and acknowledge your quilt.
18
   will be giving up your right not to incriminate yourself.
19
              Do you understand that?
20
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
21
              THE COURT:
                          And are you willing to give up your
   right to a trial and the other rights that I just
22
   mentioned to you?
23
24
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
```

THE COURT: I am going to mark at this time as

THE COURT: Okay.

24

25

Are those the terms and conditions that you

```
Case 2:06-cr-00210-LDW Document 10 Filed 05/02/06 Page 16 of 30 PageID #: 35
 1
    understand in this agreement?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
              THE COURT: And are you in agreement with those
    terms and conditions?
 4
 5
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: And did you sign this agreement
 7
    today, Mr. DePetro?
 8
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: You reviewed it with Mr. Rabin
    before you signed it?
10
11
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
12
              THE COURT: And this is your signature right
13
    above Mr. Rabin's signature on this document?
14
                               That's mine; yes.
              THE DEFENDANT:
15
              THE COURT: The government used some of the
16
    terms that are often referred to as consequences of the
17
           There's a maxim term of imprisonment of 20 years
18
                         There is a minimum term of zero.
    that's by statute.
19
              There's a maximum supervised release term of
20
    three years, in the event that there's any term of
    imprisonment imposed here. If a condition of release is
21
    violated, the defendant may be sentenced up to two
22
23
    additional two years without any kind of credit for time
```

previously served in custody and previously served on

supervised release.

24

```
1
              There's a maximum fine which is the greatest of
 2
    $500,000 or two times the value of the monetary
 3
    instrument (inaudible) involved.
 4
              Restitution is to be determined by the Court
 5
    and that will be part of the presentence investigation
    report that is prepared by the probation department.
 6
 7
    There's also a $100 special assessment fee.
                                                  And there is
 8
    a criminal forfeiture in the agreement. And (inaudible)
 9
    that provision is $75,000.
10
              Is that correct?
11
                         Today, a payment of that much was
              MR. RYAN:
12
   made today, Judge, by the defendant. I believe the total
13
    amount is $180,000.
14
              THE COURT:
                          I see.
                                  Is that in the agreement?
15
    I didn't pick that up.
16
              MR. RYAN:
                         I believe that is, Judge.
17
                          That is, Judge, on page 4 of the
              MR. RABIN:
18
    agreement, paragraph G.
19
              THE COURT: So, 75 is today and then the
20
   balance would be payable at some other time?
21
              MR. RYAN:
                         That's correct, Judge.
22
              THE COURT: And the government has the right to
   go after the proceeds and other assets (inaudible).
23
24
              MR. RYAN:
                         That's correct, Judge.
25
              THE COURT: And that's your understanding?
```

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: I just want to highlight one thing
for emphasis and that is the 5k1 letter. A term of the
agreement provides that the government has to (inaudible)

Yes.

5 substantial assistance and otherwise complied with all of 6 the terms and conditions of this agreement, that they

7 | would issue a 5k1 letter.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The thing I want make clear to you is that in only the rarest of cases, the Court will get involved in that issue. That's solely between you and the United States Attorney's Office. It's not the function or the role of the Court to second guess. As long as the United States attorney is acting in good faith (inaudible), it's in their discretion.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If the government at this time would please outline the elements of the offense for the Court.

MR. RYAN: Yes, Judge. The defendant -THE COURT: I appreciate your discussion
earlier, which covered a lot.

MR. RYAN: Yes, Judge. The defendant is charged with a conspiracy. That is, he's required to knowingly and intentionally conspire with others. What

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

security of an organization; that is, having any of the checks signed, made out to fictitious companies or companies that they didn't do business with without authorization. Theft from the employee benefit fund, as we've previously discussed, federal program fraud, mail fraud, any of those things would be the specified unlawful activity.

And they would have to know that the money was, in fact, involved in some sort of form of unlawful activity or came from one of those activities. they would have to conduct the financial transaction with one of the -- any one of the four intents that we previously discussed. And if they did so, that would be a completion of the conspiracy that has been charged.

THE COURT: Do you understand that a conspiracy is an agreement to do an unlawful act? The unlawful acts (phonetic)?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you aware of the elements of the offense to which you'll be pleading quilty?

THE COURT: And you understand that there are certain circumstances under the issuance of a 5k1 letter

Yes.

THE DEFENDANT:

23

24

22 THE COURT: Are you making the plea of guilty

voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced you

```
1
    to plead guilty?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
 3
              THE COURT: And other than the agreement with
 4
    the government as stated on the record and has been
 5
    marked as Court Exhibit 1 for purposes of this
 6
    proceeding, has anyone made any promises that caused you
 7
    to plead quilty?
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise to you
    as far as what your sentence will be in this case?
10
11
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
12
              THE COURT: I would like you to describe in
13
   your own words exactly what did in connection with the
    information.
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Between 1995 and 2004, I cashed
16
    checks on behalf of New York Acoustic in order to make
17
    certain cash payroll payments and to avoid union payments
18
    and certain IRS obligations. This was done within the
19
    Eastern District of New York and done to conceal the
20
    source and ownership of the proceeds and to avoid any
21
    financial reporting regarding --
    (Counsel and client confer)
22
23
              THE DEFENDANT: -- reporting requirements and
24
    the payment of taxes.
25
              THE COURT: Before you actually committed the
```

```
Case 2:06-cr-00210-LDW Document 10 Filed 05/02/06 Page 23 of 30 PageID #: 42
 1
    (inaudible), did you conspire with someone to commit
 2
    those acts?
    (Counsel and client confer)
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, with Joseph Costello.
 4
              THE COURT: And by conspiring, you entered into
 5
 6
    an agreement that you were going to (inaudible) this
 7
    scheme for the unlawful purposes --
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: -- that's alleged in the
    information before the Court?
10
11
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Correct.
12
              THE COURT: You avoided filing income taxes and
    to avoid filing truthful statements in connection with
13
14
    your taxes?
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Correct.
16
              THE COURT: In order to promote and continue
17
    the criminal activity that was the source of these funds.
18
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Correct.
19
              THE COURT: What was the criminal activity that
20
    is (inaudible)?
              THE DEFENDANT: Well, paying cash to employees
21
22
    and avoiding union problems, IRS, stuff like that.
23
              THE COURT: And (inaudible) employee benefit
24
    programs or diverted from an employee (inaudible)?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Well, the employees knew that

```
they were getting paid cash. So, they knew they were
 1
 2
    going to get any requirements other than their cash flow
 3
    -- other than the cash.
    (Counsel and client confer)
 4
 5
              MR. RYAN:
                         Judge, what that means is that --
 6
              THE COURT:
                          (inaudible).
 7
              MR. RYAN:
                         Right. What occurs is that when the
 8
    union employee works a certain number of hours, he's
 9
    entitled -- the employer is required and money is --
10
    whatever profits they have made or whatever money is
11
    generated from that job is automatically in trust in the
12
    employee's account to set to pay the union benefit dues.
13
              What happens is by paying union employees cash,
14
    what the employer's do is they take the money that should
15
    have been used to pay for union benefit funds and they
16
    just sue it in the regular course of their business. And
17
    what happens is hat -- since the money is held in trust,
18
    as a matter of law, it's an embezzlement.
19
              So, what occurs is even though there's no
20
   disparate accounting or setting aside of the money in
21
    their daily cash flow, as a matter of law, they've
   misapplied the money because they haven't paid the union
22
23
   benefit funds.
24
              THE COURT: Do you understand what Mr. Ryan
25
    just said on --
```

trial, we have a large number of checks that were given

Transcription Plus II Rosalie Lombardi

1 by this defendant to Mr. Costello to be cashed. We have, 2 from examining the places that Mr. Costello took them, to 3 process them through the banking system, we have been able to reconstruct the numbers of the checks and the 4 5 And as a result, we're able to show that these 6 checks were not appropriately recorded on the New York Acoustics books and records, that they were diverted and 7 8 that many of them were made out to either fictitious 9 companies prior customers, of which the prior customers 10 are not aware that the checks were issued in their names 11 and were otherwise reflecting income or expenses that are 12 not properly reflected on the books and records of the 13 company and that Mr. Costello did not file appropriate 14 currency transaction reports for checks involving more 15 than \$10,000 in cash. And as a result, the crimes of money laundering are supported. 16 17 THE COURT: Is there any part of the 18

government's statement of proof in this case, if the case were to go to trial, that you dispute?

> THE DEFENDANT: No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Based on all of the information that's been provided here, I find that the defendant is acting voluntarily and that he fully understands his rights and the consequences of his plea. And that there is a factual basis for the plea.

Again, they will prepare a report that Judge Wexler will consider prior to imposing sentence in your

which you want to cooperate with that investigation.

23

24

```
1
           In this case, the sentence (inaudible) information
   case.
 2
   contained in that document. You will be provided with a
 3
   copy before the sentence. And if there's anything in
   that document that's inaccurate in your opinion, or in
 4
   your attorney's opinion, any factual matter or other
 5
 6
   legal matter, you have the right to challenge what's said
   in there and (inaudible) ruling by the judge as to
 7
   whether it's right or wrong (inaudible). You could check
 8
   on that.
 9
10
              Anything further from the government?
11
              MR. RYAN: No, Judge, the defendant just has to
   be set -- bond has to be set.
12
13
              THE COURT: This is an initial appearance.
14
                         That's right, Judge.
              MR. RYAN:
15
              THE COURT: What (inaudible) on bail?
16
              MR. RYAN:
                         Judge, we would ask for a $1 million
17
   unsecured bond with travel restricted to the continental
18
   United States. The defendant has a passport. We would
19
   ask counsel to surrender it to pretrial services by the
20
   end of next week. And other than that, Judge, there
21
   would be no limitations.
22
              THE COURT: (inaudible)?
23
              MR. RABIN: That's acceptable.
24
              THE COURT:
                          All right. So, bail will be
    (inaudible) April 7 is next Friday and he'll surrender
25
```

```
1
   the passport. You can do it any time before that. And
 2
    it seems -- where is the (inaudible)? It's easier to do
 3
    it in Brooklyn?
 4
              MR. RABIN:
                         In Brooklyn, it would be; yes.
 5
              THE COURT: (inaudible).
              MR. RABIN: Fine, thank you.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: (inaudible) lie to the Court.
8
    if anyone (inaudible) government.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Very good.
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything further from the
10
   government?
11
12
              MR. RYAN: Nothing further, Judge.
                                                   Thank you
13
   very much.
14
              MR. RABIN:
                          Thank you.
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Thank you.
16
                  (Matter concluded)
17
                        -000-
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, ROSALIE LOMBARDI, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this

26th day of April , 2006.

Rosalie Lombardi

Rosalie Lombardi Transcription Plus II

Transcription Plus II

Rosalie Lombardi